Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 71 (1974) 315-323 © Elsevier Sequoia, S.A., Lausanne - Printed in The Netherlands ya girêdayî West College 1997년 일본 3세 12

INTRAMOLECULAR METAL-DOUBLE BOND INTERACTIONS

V. 'H NMR INVESTIGATION OF GROUP II METAL-ALKENE COMPOUNDS

반대학원 5 개 1 사람들 정보기의

그 소문은 무슨 것이 많이 하는 일을 보는 것이 좋아요. 요

J.St: DENIS and J_P. OLIVER*

Department of Chemistry; Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202. **(U.S.A.) ..**

T.W. DOLZINE and J:B. SMART . .

Department of Chemistry, Xavier University, Cincinnati, Ohio 45207 (U.S.A.) **(Received July 31st, 1973)**

summary

' H **NMR data are presented for a series of terminal alkenes and their res**pective halogen and Group II metal derivatives. The NMR parameters of the **olefin functionality, with the exception'of di-4-pentenylzinc, generally vary** with the electron-donating or -attracting ability of the terminal substituent. **The NMR parameters of di-4-pentenylzinc are interpreted in terms.of an internal metal-double bond interaction. This direct interaction leads to intramole**cular cyclization in the di-5-hexenylmagnesium and -zinc derivatives.

Introduction

It has been shown recently that a number of unsaturated main group or**ganometallic compounds may exhibit intramolecular interactions between. the** metal center and the olefinic site which, under favorable circumstances, lead to intramolecular cyclization. This type of interaction has been clearly demonstrated for aluminum derivatives in several studies $[1-5]$ and has been suggested for other species including derivatives of lithium $[3, 6-9]$, magnesium $[3, 10, 3]$ 111, zinc [12], gallium [3-5] and indium [3]. With the exception of the studies on aluminum compounds, in which the degree of aggregation and NMR parameters have been correlated with the metal-olefin interaction, little quantitative information is available on the nature or magnitude of the metal-olefin interaction. I ._. -.__. -.._.-I;. .- -.

. In an effort to provide some of the necessary information regarding the factors which influence this metal-olefin interaction the present study on the 1 H NMR spectra of a series of unsaturated Group II organometallic derivatives was undertaken. It has been shown in these studies that the NMR parameters of

> **: ~. .~**

__. .:

 * Author to whom inquiries should be addressed.

:

those species studied, %ith the exception of those for di-4-pentenylzinc, vary, predictably with the electron-releasing or -withdrawing ability of the substituent occupying the terminal position;

The perturbation of the NMR parameters of the pentenylzinc system are **interpreted in terms of a direct metal-olefin interaction which leads, under special-conditions to the facile internal cyclization of the organometallic derivative_ Preliminary accounts of this work have appeared previously [3,12]** _

Experimental

The preparation of air sensitive organometallic derivatives were carried out **under high vacuum conditions with subsequent handling performed in a Na/K alloy scavenged dry box with an argon atmosphere. All solvents were rigorously dried over Na/K alloy.**

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Associates HA-60 IL spectrometer operating with a probe temperature of 25°C. Precise line positions were determined using a calibration technique previously described [131. The I-chloro, 1-iodo and l-mercury derivatives of 4-pentene and 5-hexene were calibrated by linear interpolation between audio frequency side bands. For all measurements a minimum of three scans was recorded and the average chemical shifts and coupling constants are reported. Chemical shift data are referenced to internal TMS = 0.0 ppm and coupling constants are giyen in Hz.

Computer simulated spectra were obtained from calculations using . **LACOON-3 [14] and are reproducible'to 0.002 ppm for chemical shifts and to 0.05 Hz for coupling constants. Parameters derived from first order analysis** are less precise and are certainly not reliable to more than \pm 0.01 ppm and \pm **0.25 Hz respectively.**

IR measurements were obtained using either a Perkin-Elmer 237B or 621 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratories, Woodside, New York. I-Bromo-3-butene and the alcohol derivatives were obtained from Chemical Samples Company and used as received. *-*

Syntheses

The chloroalkene derivatives were prepared from the alcohols by reaction with thionyl chloride as described by Roterts et al. [15] and were characterized **by their NMR and IR spectra. The analogous iodo compounds were prepared by reaction between the chloro .compounds and NaI in acetone [16].** In **addition to** the IR data, the NMR spectral properties are consistent with terminal halogen substitution. Triplet resonance absorptions were observed at δ_{α} 3.50 and δ_{α} 3.13 **ppm for the chore and iodo derivatives, respectively. me purity of these deriva**tives was confirmed by gas-chromatographic analysis.

The **mercury derivatives were synthesized by the method of Nesmeyanov** [17]. Di-3-buteny lmercury was purified by trap to trap distillation under high **vacuum at room temperature_ The pentenyl ancj hcxenyl derivatives were puri** fied by distillation in an apparatus modified for use under high vacuum. Elemental analyses and IR data for each of these derivatives are: $(CH_2=CHCH_2CH_2)_2$ -**Hg Anal.: 'Found:-C, 31.15; H; 4.79; Hg, 64.27; CsH14Hg calcd.: C, 30.91; H,**

4.51; Hg, 64.58%. Principal IR bands *, 308Os, 3OOO(sh), 298Os, 293O(sh), 2910 vs, 284Os, 1825w, 1637s, 1445m, 1415m, 131Ow, 129Ow, 1245m, 99Os, 906vs; 735~. (CH2=CHCH2CH2CH2),Hg Anal. Pound: C, 35.71; H, 5.47; Hg, 58.91. CIOHISHg &cd.: C, 35.46; H, 5.31; Hg, 59.22%. Principal IR bands, 305Os, 2970 (sh), 295Os, 29OOvs, 288O(sh), 282Os, 181Ow, 164Os, 146O(sh), 1455(sh), 144Os, 142O(sh), 1335s, 1255m, 1225w, 1125w, 105O-1075w, 99Os, 908vs, 700 w.** (CH₂=CHCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂)₂Hg Analysis by mass spectroscopy; parent ion [CH₂= $CH(CH_2)_4$ ₂ Hg^+ , mass = 365 based on ¹⁹⁹Hg isotope; principal IR bands**, **3075s, 2975s, 292Ovs, 285Os, 1825w, 1645(sh), 164Os, 1635(sh), 1455&h), 144Os, 1435(sh), 1415(sh), 1335m, \$255(sh), 1247m, 123O(sh), 118Ow, li3Ow, 1150,1175m, 995s, 91Ovs, 805w, 700+725m, 635m.**

The organozinc derivatives were all prepared simiairly and the details given for di-3-butenylzinc are representative. An excess of zinc dust (99.9%, 5g, 0.077 mol) was added to a reaction vessel fitted with a magnetic stirring bar and a break seal side arm. The reaction vessel was evacuated and approximately 3g (0.01 mol) of di-3-butenylmercury distilled in and the tube sealed under vacuum. The reactants were placed in an oil bath at 120" for 24 h; after which the tube was attached to the high vacuum line via the break seal side arm. The volatile products were removed and separated by trap-to-trap distillation; the pure product was isolated in a 0" trap. Small amounts of highly volatile byproducts were isolated at -196° C but were not identified. $\left(\text{CH}_{2}=\text{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ Zn Anal. Found: C, 54.21; H, 7.95. C₈H₁₄Zn calcd.: C, 54.72; H, 8.03%. Principal IR **bands***, 3083m, 2971m, 2907s, 2861(sh), 1634m, 1406w, 131Ow, 1249w, 997m, 912m. (CH,=CHCH,CH,CH,),Zn Anal. Found: C, 58.10; H, 8.80. CloHlsZn calcd.: C, 58.99; H, 8.91%. Principal IR bands***, 3071m, 3041+2831 br, 1823w, 1637m, 1400+ 1425w, 1333m, llOOw, 1051w, 993s, 903s, 805(sh), 666m, 631&h).**

Di-5-hexenylzinc was prepared by an identical procedure. However, an organozinc impurity was found to be present and identified as bis(cydopentylmethyl)zinc by NMR analysis of the hydrolysis products which were methylcyclopentane and 1-hexene (from the noncyclic organoxinc product). Integration of the NMR spectrum of the product mixture indicated a 10% impurity of bis(cyclopentylmethyl)zinc after a 24 h reaction time. However, by extending **the reaction time to 84 h, the cyclopentylmethyl derivative made up approximately 90% of the product mixture. The NMR parameters for bis(cyclopentylmethyl)zinc consist of a high field doublet centered at 0.47 ppm and a multiplet centered at 1.67 ppm. It proved impossible to separate the mixture; therefore, NMR measurements of the hexenyl derivative were taken with the 10% impurity present. There is no evidence for exchange between the two compounds and the cylcopentyhnethyl species has no resonance absorption in the olefin region_ For these reasons, we feel the presence of the impurity does not affect the NMR parameters.**

The organomagnesium compounds were also prepared by a mercury exchange reaction. AU derivatives were prepared similarly. A reaction vessel with stirring bar and a break seal side arm was loaded with triply sublimed magne-

^{*}Gas cell, NaCl, 10.0 cm, calibrated against polystyrene film (\pm 4 cm⁻¹).

^{**}Liquid film. calibrated against polystyrene film (\pm 4 cm⁻¹).

^{**} C_5H_{10} solution. KBr cell. 0.25 mm. calibrated against polystyrene film (\pm 4 cm⁻¹).

 318

 $sium$ (approximately $2.0 g$). Diethyl ether $(10 ml)$ and a small quantity of **bromoethane (Iml) were distilled into the reaction vessel. The vessel was** 1 closed off **under vacuum and-warmed to room temperature. The Grignard reac**tion started at room temperature and was allowed to continue until the magnesium metal chips darkened (approximately 5 min). At this time all solvent and **volatile components were quickly removed on the vacuum line leaving the activated magnesium.and dry Grignard. The vessel was transferred to a dry box and the Grignard reagent washed out of the reaction vessel with diethyl ether. The vessel was then reattached to the vacuum line and residual ether removed. Di-3-.** butenylmercury was subsequently distilled into the vessel and the tube was seal**ed under high vacuum. Reaction was allowed to proceed for 24 h at 120°C after which time a white solid had formed and elemental mercury was deposited. The reaction-tube was attached to a vacuum line via the side arm and all volatile components removed. To insure complete removal of any residual mercury compounds, the tube was heated to 100°C and pumping was continued for 24 h after which the tube was resealed and removed to a dry box. The magnesium compound was dissolved in diethyl ether and then transferred to another vessel. The ethereal solution was put under high vacuum and the ether removed and a second heating and pumping cycle maintained for another 24 h. Subsequent NMR spectra of ethereal solutions exhibited characteristic resonance absorptions and no mercury compound could be detected.**

No straight chain hex-5-enylmagnesium compound could be isolated. After 24 h only bis(cyclopentylmethyl)magnesium was isolated as determined by NMR (-0.50 ppm, doublet; 1.65, multiplet) and hydrolysis experiments. An **lKMR tube reaction involving the di-5-hexenylmercury and -magnesium exchange was conducted and the presence of a straight chain derivative was incli**cated by the initial appearance of a high field triplet resonance (-0.60 ppm) . After about $3 h$ of reaction time a new high field doublet resonance $(-0.50$ **ppm) appeared and continued to increase in intensity as the triplet resonance disappeared. Isolation of the noncyclized product from this reaction is impractical because the rate of cyclization is comparable to the rate of metal exchange.**

A complete workup of the hydrolysis residue of the cyclic magnesium compound indicated the presence of bis(cyclopentyhnethyl)mercury_ The identity of this compound was established by NMR (1.20 ppm, doublet; 1.65 ppm, multiplet; $J^{(199)}_{\text{Hg}-\text{H}}$ 95.7 Hz) and mass spectral analysis. The origin of this **compound in the reaction will be discussed below.**

Results and discussion

In our investigation of terminal unsaturated organometallic derivatives of Group II, we have observed that cyclization of di-5-hexenylzinc and -magnesium occurs during the preparation of these compounds via the metal exchange indicated in eqn. 1. The cyclization is more easily achieved for the magnesium deriva-

$$
[CH2=CH(CH2)4]2Hg + M \Rightarrow Hg + [CH2=CH(CH2)4]2M
$$
 (1)

tive and the isolation of a hexenyl derivative of magnesium is not possible by this synthetic method. The existence of such a derivative is indicated, however, by

the high field triplet absorption in the NMR which is dominant in the initialstages of reaction. On the other hand, during the course of the mercury-zinc **exchange only a small amount of cyclic product is produced before the metal**metal exchange is complete and isolation of di-5-hexenylzinc is possible. For **both magnesium and zinc these observations demonstrate that metal exchange occurs prior to cyclization, as given by eqn. 1, followed by intramolecular cyclization indicated by eqn. 2.**

$$
[CH_2=CH(CH_2)_4]_2M \rightarrow \left(\bigcup_{\text{CH}_2}\bigg)_{\text{R}}M
$$

The cyclization is believed to occur via an internal addition to the double bond and to proceed through the transition state or activated complex indicated in I. The proposed path and transition state are based in part on analogy with the corresponding aluminium systems [2,5] and previous detailed studies on Grignard cyclizations [11, 18].

The ease of cyclization of the hexenyl metal derivatives follows the order Al > $Mg > Li > Zn \geq Hg = 0$. This order appears to be determined by (1) the **availability of a vacant orbital on the me'tal center and (2) the polarity of the metal-carbon bond. The rate of cyclization is also dramatically effected by the chain length of the olefin moiety and is greatest when the conformation indicated in I can be obtained relatively strain-free [5]** .

The magnitude of the intramolecular interaction varies greatly from the aluminium systems, in which a strong interaction has been demonstrated, to the mercury species, in which no evidence for interaction has been obtained. In the latter system, cyclopentylmethylmercury species have been observed but these are formed by the slow exchange shown in eqn. 3 and not by cyclization as shown by prolonged heating of the pentenyl-, hexenyl- and heptenyl-mercury derivatives in the absence of other metals.

$$
C_{\text{H}_{2}^{2}Mg} + R_{2}Hg \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{2}MgR + \text{CH}_{2}HgR \tag{3}
$$

In an effort to provide additional information about the systems in which weaker mteractions occur, i.e. the Group II metal derivatives, an investigation of their PNlR spectra was undertaken. The results are listed in Tables 1-4 and the NMR parameters for the parent olefins are given in Table 5 to provide a reference. The NMR spectrum for 1-pentene has been determined to complete this sequence of compounds and is in full agreement with the approximately con-

 (2)

TABLE 1

320

NMR PARAMETERS FOR ALKENYL DERIVATIVES OF CHLORINE, BROMINE AND IODINE⁴

^d Carbon tetrachloride solvent (~50/50 v/v) Positive values are downfield from TMS. ^b Computer simulated spectra. ^C Reference 7.

stant chemical shifts previously recorded for the vinyl protons of propene, 1butene and 1-hexene [19]. These constant values for the chemical shifts establish the fact that the chain lenth has little influence on the olefin electronic environment. Substitution in the terminal position, however, alters the chemical shifts of the vinyl protons and, in general, a correlation with the electron-releasing or -withdrawing ability of the substituent exists. This can be established by examination of the data in Tables 1-4.

Di-4-pentenylzinc, however, exhibits anomalous behavior such that $\delta \beta$ is shifted downfield with respect to the parent olefin. This behavior is contrary to

TABLE 2

 P_{\parallel} C_{\parallel}

ਾ , B

NMR PARAMETERS FOR GROUP II METAL 3-BUTENYL COMPOUNDS^a

^a Computer simulated spectra. Positive values are downfield from TMS, ^b Diethyl ether solvent, ^c Cyclo-
pentane solvent (~50/50 v/v), ^d Carbon tetrachloride solvent (~50/50 v/v).

Suit

NMR PARAMETERS FOR GROUP II METAL 4-PENTENYL COMPOUNDS^a

a Cyclopentane solvent (~50/50 v/v) unless otherwise noted. Positive values are downfield from TMS. ^b Diethyl ether solvent. C Carbon tetrachloride solvent. $\frac{d}{dx}$ R₂Zn/DME molar ratio = 1.0. C R₂Zn/2.2[']-bipy mole ratio = 1.0 .

TABLE 4

NMR PARAMETERS FOR GROUP II METAL 5-HEXENYL COMPOUNDS^a

 $\alpha = 1.3$.

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\sim 10^{11}$

^a Cyclopentane solvent (~ 50/50 v/v). Positive values are downfield from TMS. ^b R₂Zn/DME mole ratio = 1.0. Carbon tetrachloride solvent (\sim 50/50 v/v).

TABLE 5

NMR PARAMETERS FOR A SERIES OF 1-ALKENES^G

In the state of the

^a Computer simulated spectra. Positive values are downfield from TMS. ^b Ref. 19. ^c Cyclopentane solvent $(* 40\% \text{ v/v}).$

the expected upfield shifts for an electropositive substituent. Along with other data this has been previously reported and interpreted in terms of a weak intramolecular interaction between the metal center and the terminal carbon as shown in II [12]. The interaction is interpreted in terms of a dipole-dipole association involving the polarized metal $(Zn^{\delta+})$ and the inherent dipole of the olefin [20, :211.

 (Π)

322

Di-3-butenyl- and di-5-hexenylzinc exhibit normal NMR parameters and the concept of metal-olefin association is not required to interpret their NMR parameters. Conceivably this is because the chain lengths are not appropriate to accomodate this ground-state interaction. Apparently the interaction does not stabilize the system sufficiently to overcome the strain energy involved to achieve the required conformation. A consideration of molecular models is consistent with this interpretation.

The extension of this research to the analogous compounds of magnesium and mercury provides further information regarding the requirements for the existence of the interaction. Di-4-pentenvimagnesium would presumably exhibit an interaction; however, the observation of this derivative in a two coordinate state has not been possible. The compound is insoluble in all solvents except coordinating solvents and by the very nature of the solvation, observation of the intramolecular interaction of interest is precluded. This behavior is quite similar to the $2.2'$ -bipyridine adduct of di-4-pentenylzinc $[12]$.

The mercury derivatives, likewise, have been shown to be free of metal olefin associations and this can be attributed to the lack of a sufficiently polar mercury-carbon bond.

In summary, the requirements for a ground state metal—olefin association and possible intramolecular cyclization appear to be: (1) a relatively strain-free conformation must be possible for the associated form (2) open coordination sites at the metal center and (3) a relatively polar metal—carbon bond.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grants GP8323 and GPI19299. J.St. D. gratefully acknowledges support in the form of an NSF Summer Traineeship (1971) and a Wayne State University Graduate Fellowship $(1972 - 74)$.

References

- 1 G. Hata, Chem. Commun., (1968) 7.
- 2 G. Zweifel, G.M. Clark and R. Lynd, Chem. Commun., (1971) 1593.
- 3 J. St. Denis, T. Dolzine and J.P. Oliver, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94 (1972) 8260.
- 4 T.W. Dolzine and J.P. Oliver, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) in press.
- 5 T.W. Dolzine and J.P. Oliver, accepted for publication.
- 6 J.P. Oliver, J.B. Smart and M.T. Emerson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 4101.
- 7 J.B. Smart, R. Hogan, P.A. Scherr, M.T. Emerson and J.P. Oliver, J. Organometal. Chem., in press.
- 8 V.N. Drozd, Yu.A. Ustynyuk, M.A. Tsel'eva and L.B. Dimitriev, Zh. Obsch. Khim., 38 (1968) 2114.
- 9 T.W. Dolzine, A.K. Hovland and J.P. Oliver, J. Organometal. Chem., 65 (1974) C1.
- 10 H.G. Richey, Jr. and W.C. Kossa, Jr., Tatrahedron Lett., (1969) 2313; H.G. Richey, Jr. and T.C. Rees, Tetrandron Lett., (1966) 4297;
- H.G. Richey, Jr. and A.M. Rothman, Tetrahedron Lett., (1968) 1457.
- 11 E.A. Hill and J.A. Davidson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86 (1964) 4663.
- 12 J. St. Denis, J.P. Oliver and J.B. Smart, J. Organometal. Chem., 44 (1972) C32.
- 13 P.A. Scherr and J.P. Oliver, J. Mol. Spec., 31 (1969) 109.
- 14 S. Castellano and A.A. Bothner- By, J. Chem. Phys., 41 (1964) 3863.
- 15 M.C. Caserio, W.H. Graham and J.D. Roberts, Tetrahedron, 11, (1960) 171.
- 16 A.H. Blatt (Ed.), Organic Synthesis, Coll. Vol. II, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1943, p. 477.
- 17 A.N. Nesmeyanov, A.E. Borisov and N.V. Novikova, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otdel. Khim. Nauk. $(1959) 1216.$
- 18 E.A. Hill, R.J. Theissen and K. Taucher, J. Org. Chem., 34 (1969) 3061;
- E.A. Hill ard G.E. Shih. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 7764, and references therein.
- 19 A.A. Bothner-By and C. Naar-Colin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 231.
- 20 D.R. Lide and D.E. Mann, J. Chem. Phys., 29 (1958) 868.
- 21 J.A. Pople and M. Gordon, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 4253.